

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,313	07/02/2003	Dennis A. Kramer	9501-72760	4079
23643 75	590 · 10/23/2006	•	EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 SOUTH MERIDIAN			HANDAL, KAITY V	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
•		•	DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/612,313	KRAMER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kaity Handal	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 September 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application and Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/612,313

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9, 12, 14, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skala et al. (US 2003/0134166 A1) in view of Labinov et al. (US 2002/0160238 A1).

With respect to claims 9 and 14, Skala teaches a fuel reforming system (fig. 2A), comprising: and a compressor (64) with a pressurized air outlet (page 3, paragraph [0019], lines 13-14) (illustrated by arrow from compressor (64) extending to check valve (74)), and a fuel reformer (Fig. 2D, 120).

Skala fails to teach a turbocharger having a turbine with a reformate gas inlet and a reformate gas outlet fluidly coupled to the reformate gas inlet of the turbine.

Labinov teaches a turbocharger having a turbine (expander) (fig. 6, 120) with a reformate gas inlet (from reformer (102) as illustrated), and a reformate gas outlet fluidly coupled to the reformate gas inlet of the turbine (expander) (120) (as illustrated) in order to produce a greater specific power and provide lower overall system cost compared to other power systems (page 3, paragraph [0036]); and wherein said turbine (120) is upstream an air compressor (106) in order to utilize the

Art Unit: 1764

energy produced by the expansion of the synthesis gas in the turbine (120) to drive the air compressor (106) (page 5, paragraph [0063], lines 6-8).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the reformate stream in Skala's fuel processor pass through a turbine prior to passing to a fuel cell, as taught by Labinov, in order to produce a greater specific power and provide lower overall system cost compared to other power systems and utilize the energy produced by the expansion of the synthesis gas in the turbine to drive the air compressor of Skala.

With respect to claims 12 and 17, Labinov further teaches wherein the system further comprises an electrical generator having an input coupled to an output of the turbine (expander) (120) (page 5, paragraph [0063], lines 6-9).

With respect to claims 19, Labinov further teaches wherein the expander is a turbine (page 5, paragraph [0061], lines 8-10).

3. Claims 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skala et al. (US 2003/0134166 A1) in view of Labinov et al. (US 2002/0160238 A1), as applied to claims 9 and 14 above, and further in view of Surma (US 6,630,113 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,313 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claims 10 and 15, Skala as modified discloses all claim limitations as set forth above but fails to show wherein the turbine (expander) has a reformate gas outlet fluidly coupled to an intake of an internal combustion engine. Surma teaches a waste treatment system which comprises partial oxidation reformer (col. 62, lines 18-22), a compressor (fig. 1, 46), and an expander/turbine (52) where the latter has a gas outlet fluidly coupled to an intake of an internal combustion engine in order to generate electricity (col. 3, lines 32-35).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an internal combustion engine fluidly connected to the gas outlet of the expander/turbine in Skala's modified apparatus, as taught by Surma, in order to generate electricity.

4. Claims 11, 13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skala et al. (US 2003/0134166 A1) in view of Labinov et al. (US 2002/0160238 A1), as applied to claims 9 and 14 above, and further in view of Bromberg et al. (US 2002/0194835 A1).

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claims 11 and 16, Skala as modified discloses all claim limitations as set forth above but fails to show wherein the expander has a reformate gas outlet fluidly coupled to an emission abatement device. Bromberg teaches an emission abatement system which comprises a plasma fuel converter (fig. 5, 12), providing hydrogen to expander/turbine (26) which has a gas outlet (illustrated) fluidly coupled to an emission abatement device/absorber catalyst (32) which is adapted to treat NO_x in order to trap NO_x present in the exhaust (page 2, paragraph [0017], lines 1-8).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an emission abatement device coupled to the expander/turbine gas outlet in Skala's modified apparatus, as taught by Bromberg, in order to trap NO_x present in the exhaust.

With respect to claims 13 and 18, Skala as modified discloses all claim limitations as set forth above but fails to show wherein the fuel reformer comprises a plasma fuel reformer. Bromberg teaches wherein fuel reformer comprises a plasma fuel reformer/converter (12) in order to readily transform fuel into hydrogen gas and have an instantaneous turn-on and response in a very compact unit (page 3, paragraph [0028], lines 4-6).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plasma fuel reformer in Skala's modified apparatus, as taught by Bromberg, in order to readily transform fuel into hydrogen gas and have an instantaneous turn-on and response in a very compact unit.

Response to Arguments

Specification

Objection made to the specification is withdrawn by examiner due to applicant's

amendment.

Prior Art Rejection

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/1/2006, with respect to the

rejection(s) of claim(s) 9-19 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are

persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further

consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Skala et al. and further in

view of Labinov et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kaity Handal whose telephone number is (571) 272-

8520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,313

Art Unit: 1764

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHAA

5/22/2006

ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL PRIMARY EXAMINER